

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMBRCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,108	70,108 01/25/2001		Gloriana Marks de Chabris	GSH-PT001	5358
3624	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
VOLPE AN	ND KOEN	NIG, P.C.	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
UNITED PL 30 SOUTH 1	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				3624	
				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/770,108	MARKS DE CHABRIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alain L. Bashore	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum studyory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 October 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-27</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-27</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/770,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Re-issue of previous office action

The previous office action is re-issued without the 35 U.S.C 112 rejections because there was a claim not addressed as pending or rejected in the art rejection previously presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as non-statutory because the method claims as presented do not claim a technological basis. Without a claimed basis, the claim may be interpreted in an alternative as involving no more than a manipulation of an abstract idea and therefore non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. In contrast, a method claim that includes in the preamble and body of the claim structural / functional interrelationships that are solely by computer (and non-trivial) are considered to have a technological basis [See Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) – used only for content and reasoning since not precedential].

The terms "communications network", "heuristic", "data storage means", "schedule supervisor means", "transaction supervisor", all are not solely by computer.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 4-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ordish et al in view of Buist .

Ordish et al discloses receiving an order definition. An order message is transmitted (col 5, lines 60-63) over a communications network at the time instant associated with one of the transaction instances (col 6, lines 30-35). A completion message is received over the communications network identifying a completion status of the order at the transmitted transaction destination (col 6, lines 48-57). Incomplete order fills are notified (col 6, lines 38-45). A data storage means, schedule supervisor means and a transaction supervisor means are present (fig 8).

Regarding the recitation of a transaction destination and a time instant for the order, this in inherent to Ordish because any electronic communication which will always include destinations and time instances per se.

Application/Control Number: 09/770,108

Art Unit: 3624

Regarding the recitation of the order definition defined with an evaluation heuristic, this inherent to Ordish because any electronic communication requires some kind of methodology for sending such per se.

Ordish et al does not disclose:

transmitting at time instances associated with one of the transaction instances; and,

updated orders or revised orders.

Buist discloses updating or revising of book order entries as "counteroffers" (col 3, lines 20-30).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include updating or revision of the order because Buist teaches continual change of market conditions (col 9, lines 5-9).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include transmitting at time instances associated with one of the transaction instances because Buist teaches that there is a need to transaction after normal market hours (col 2, lines 14-19) and continuous updating (col 2, lines 51-56) to overcome inefficiency

Application/Control Number: 09/770,108 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, the reference to Alkhatib et al discloses electronic communications requiring the importance of destinations, time instances, and methodologies.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624

Jaw h Brane